

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FIL | ING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/053,666 01/24/2002 | | 1/24/2002 | Wolfgang Billinger | P67552US0 | 8422 | |
| 136 | 7590 | 10/31/2006 | • | EXAMINER | | |
| JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC | | | | HOLZEN, STEPHEN A | | |
| 400 SEVENTH STREET N.W. SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20004 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | • | 3644 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAIL ED: 10/31/200 | 4 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-------------------|------------------|
| 10/053,666 | BILLINGER ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Stephen A. Holzen | 3644 |

| | Examine | AILOIIL | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Stephen A. Holzen | 3644 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>25 October 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. I. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) | | | | | | | | |
| a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods: | | ust be filed within one | of the following | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this | | | | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 | 136(a) and the appropria | te extension fee | | | | | |
| nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be | | ducina or simplifyina | the issues for | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | | | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.14. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.14. | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324) | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | Impliant Amendment | (I TOL-324). | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | | | |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro | | ill be entered and an | explanation of | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>15, 19-23, 26, 27, 30, 32-34</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>24,25 and 28</u> . | | | • | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i | ot be entered s necessary and | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa | overcome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. | | | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | | | | | |
| TERI PHAM LUU | | | | | | | | |
| SUPERVISORY | | | | | | | | |
| SOFEWROOM | | | | | | | | |

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has introduced a limitation into claim 1 that has not previously been considered in combination with the elements of claim 1 and therefor this amendment would require the examiner to reconsider the scope of claim 1...